

Submission ID: 24609

On behalf the Mott family we write in response to the Applicant's D8 submissions '9.187 post-event submissions, incl. written submissions of oral comments, for ISH11' and specifically with reference to Annex B.

In respect of Hearing Action Point 11, we note the Applicant's correction to oral comments at ISH11 that the works at Coalhouse Point will not in fact form part of the preliminary works, which goes some way to dealing with our main issue; being if preliminary works are carried out but the main works do not commence and the issues that scenario would create if the land were not then to be required for the Project.

In respect of Hearing Action Point 13, we note that the Applicant acknowledges the potential for contaminants to be mobilised locally in a flood event. Is it therefore sufficient to rely on these being 'diluted and dispersed' by flood water in that scenario? It does seem to us to call into question whether the wetland creation proposals are fit for purpose in this location given the presence of the adjoining landfill and the flood risks that are clearly quite evident in this location.

Yours faithfully

M R Holland MRICS
Holland Land & Property Ltd